

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION

---

SID MILLER, *et al.*,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

TOM VILSACK, in his official capacity as  
SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE,

*Defendant.*

---

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
) Civil Action No. 4:21-cv-595-O  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Upon consideration of Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss and the supporting and responding briefs thereto, Defendant's Motion is HEREBY GRANTED. It is FURTHER ORDERED that:

a) Insofar as any claim challenges any program other than that authorized by ARPA § 1005, such claims are DISMISSED for want of jurisdiction;

b) Claims 2 and 3 are DISMISSED for want of jurisdiction; and

c) Insofar as Claim 1 raises a claim under Title VI, such claim under Title VI is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

REED CHARLES O'CONNOR  
United States District Judge